

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

November 26, 2018

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein United States Senate 331 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Feinstein:

I appreciate your letter regarding the problems the Santa Clara County Fire Department had using Verizon's network as they battled the Mendocino Complex Fire. I agree that first responders' communications systems must be reliable when they need them most. And our Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau continues to work with first responders and wireless service providers to ensure that communications networks are available for emergency services both during and after a natural disaster.

As you may know, Santa Clara County itself acknowledged in a recent court filing that Verizon's actions here did not apparently violate the Commission's *Title II Order* or the 2015 net neutrality rules. Indeed, the Title II Order referred to the type of data plan Santa Clara purchased from Verizon (i.e., one in which speeds are slowed after a subscriber uses a specified amount of data) as the industry norm. So I was glad to hear that Verizon offer a new plan with no speed restrictions on public safety customers in a declared emergency, even though this would mean treating some users differently from others. And per your request, I have asked FCC staff to look into how wireless service providers communicate to public safety officials information about their service plans.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Ajit V. Pai



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON

November 26, 2018

The Honorable Kamala D. Harris United States Senate 112 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Harris:

I appreciate your letter regarding the problems the Santa Clara County Fire Department had using Verizon's network as they battled the Mendocino Complex Fire. I agree that first responders' communications systems must be reliable when they need them most. And our Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau continues to work with first responders and wireless service providers to ensure that communications networks are available for emergency services both during and after a natural disaster.

As you may know, Santa Clara County itself acknowledged in a recent court filing that Verizon's actions here did not apparently violate the Commission's *Title II Order* or the 2015 net neutrality rules. Indeed, the *Title II Order* referred to the type of data plan Santa Clara purchased from Verizon (i.e., one in which speeds are slowed after a subscriber uses a specified amount of data) as the industry norm. So I was glad to hear that Verizon offer a new plan with no speed restrictions on public safety customers in a declared emergency, even though this would mean treating some users differently from others. And per your request, I have asked FCC staff to look into how wireless service providers communicate to public safety officials information about their service plans.

I appreciate your interest in this matter. Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai